



# JOURNAL OF THE IRISH DENTAL ASSOCIATION POLICIES



# JOURNAL OF THE IRISH DENTAL ASSOCIATION

## Aims and Scope

The *Journal of the Irish Dental Association (JIDA)* is a peer-reviewed journal, and is the flagship publication of the Irish Dental Association.

The *JIDA*'s readership includes general dentists, specialists, and academics, as well as other members of the dental team. The *JIDA* aims to inform readers of new research, ideas, opinions, developments and key issues in dentistry – clinical, practical and scientific – stimulating interest, debate and discussion, in keeping with the Irish Dental Association's stated aim to promote the advancement of the interests of the dental profession and the well-being of the population through the attainment of optimum oral health. The *JIDA* achieves these aims through:

- peer-reviewed scientific articles;
- clinical features;
- practice management articles;
- news features on topics of interest to Irish dentistry; and,
- interviews with leading figures in dentistry and healthcare in Ireland and internationally.

The *JIDA* is published bimonthly, with six editions published per year, and its circulation to every dentist on the island of Ireland is audited and verified by the Audit Bureau of Circulations (ABC).

## EDITORIAL BOARD OCTOBER 2020

### Honorary Editor

Dr Ciara Scott BDS MFD MOrth MDentCh (TCD) FFD (RCSI) MSc (RCSI) FDS (RCSEd) Member EBO

### Deputy Editor

Dr Siobhain Davis BA BDentSc FDS (RCSI) MDentCh (Pros) FFD (RCSI) MSc LHPE (RCSI)

### Editorial Board

Dr Mirza Shahzad Baig BDS MSc (UK) PhD (TCD)

AnnMarie Bergin RDH

Dr Evelyn Crowley BDS (NUI) MSc (ULond) DDPHRCSEng MSc (TCD)

Dr Brian Dunne BA BDentSc DipPCD (RCSI) MFD (RCSI)

Dr Máiréad Harding BDS MDPH PhD MFGDP (UK) FDS RCPS (Glasg) PGDipTLHE

Dr Peter Harrison BDentSc MFD DChDent

Dr Laura Kavanagh BDS Dip Clin Dent

Dr Richard Lee Kin BDentSc FDSRCSI DChDent (Periodontology)

Dr Geraldine McDermott BA BDentSc MFDS RCSI PGradDip ConSed MSc Healthcare Leadership

Dr Mark Joseph McLaughlin BDentSc FFD (RCSI) DChDent (Periodontics)

Dr David McReynolds BA BDentSc MFDS RCSEd DChDent (Pros) FFD RCSI

# STATEMENT OF EDITORIAL POLICIES

The *Journal of the Irish Dental Association* aims to publish high-quality papers in all areas of dental research. This journal facilitates the research and wishes to publish papers as long as they are technically correct and scientifically motivated. The journal also encourages the submission of useful reports of negative results. This is a quality controlled, peer-reviewed, international journal. Every volume of this journal will consist of six issues. Every issue will have a minimum of two peer-reviewed papers.

## GENERAL EDITORIAL POLICY

### Publication Criteria

1. The paper has not been published (partly or as a whole) before or is not under consideration for publication elsewhere (except as an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis). We will consider manuscripts that have been deposited in preprint servers such as arXiv or published in institutional repositories. We will also consider work that has been presented at conferences (a significant amount of changes should be made before submission to the journal and proper citation of the conference paper is required). Submission of a manuscript clearly indicates that authors grant a license to publish the article and identify itself as the original publisher. The submitting author (corresponding author) is responsible for ensuring that the article's publication has been approved by all the other co-authors and after the publication of the paper author-dispute related issues will not be entertained. It is also the corresponding authors' responsibility to ensure that the articles emanating from a particular institution are submitted with the approval of the necessary institution.
2. Its publication is permitted by all authors and after acceptance for publication, it will not be submitted for publication anywhere else, in English or in any other language, without the written approval of the copyright holder. The *Journal* may consider manuscripts that are translations of articles originally published in another language. In this case, the consent of the journal in which the article was originally published must be obtained and the fact that the article has already been published must be made clear on submission and stated in the abstract.
3. It is compulsory for the authors to ensure that no material submitted as part of a manuscript infringes existing copyrights or the rights of a third party.
4. The copyrights of all papers published in this journal are retained by the respective authors as per the 'Creative Commons Attribution License' (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). The author(s) should be the sole author(s) of the article and should have full authority to enter into the agreement and in granting rights (if any) which are not in breach of any other obligation. The author(s) should ensure the integrity of the paper and related works. Authors should mandatorily ensure that submission of the manuscript to this journal would result in no breach of contract or of confidence or of commitment given to secrecy.

5. If a submitted study replicates or is very similar to previous work, authors must provide a sound scientific rationale for the submitted work and clearly reference and discuss the existing literature. Submissions that replicate or are derivative of existing work will likely be rejected if authors do not provide adequate justification.
6. English quality: the language in submitted articles must be clear, correct, and unambiguous. It is author's responsibility to improve the English quality (if required) by any other third-party service.
7. The research must meet all applicable standards for the ethics of experimentation and research integrity.
8. This publisher believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. The *Journal* will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish papers that are judged to be technically sound.

### Agreement for authorship

Submission of a paper to this *Journal* indicates that the author(s) have agreed on the content of the paper. One author should be indicated as the corresponding author for all publication-related communications. All correspondence and proofs would be sent to the corresponding author, who will be treated as a final representative voice for all authors regarding any decision related to the manuscript unless otherwise requested during submission. This *Journal* would not be responsible for any dispute related to authorship of a submitted paper. Any change in the authorship (such as addition or deletion of author(s) or change in the sequence of author list) should be intimated to the editorial office through a letter signed by all authors before publication of the paper. Generally, any change in the authorship after final publication is not entertained.

## PEER REVIEW MECHANISM

### Reviewer selection

Reviewer selection is a critical parameter to maintain the high peer review standard of any journal. Many factors are considered during peer reviewer selection like: proof of expertise in terms of published papers in the same area in reputed journals, affiliation, and reputation, specific suggestion, etc. We try to avoid reviewers who are slow, careless or do not provide sufficient justification for their decision (positive or negative). We also, as much as possible, try to rule out those reviewers who may have an obvious competing interest.

The main force behind our efficient and quality peer-review system is the tremendous hard work of our peer reviewers, administration team and editors. We are extremely grateful to them for their great service.

### Review process flow

The reviewers' comments are generally sent to authors within three weeks after submission. With the help of the reviewers' comments, final decision (accepted; accepted with minor revisions; accepted with major revision; or, rejected) will be sent to the corresponding author. Reviewers are asked if they would like to review a revised version of the manuscript. The editorial office may request a re-review regardless of a reviewer's response in order to ensure a thorough and fair evaluation. Reviewers who may have offered an opinion not in accordance with the final decision should not feel that their recommendation was not duly considered and their service not properly appreciated. Experts often disagree, and it is the job of the editorial team to make a final decision.

Authors are encouraged to submit the revised manuscript within seven to 15 days of receipt of reviewer's comments (in case of minor corrections). But at any case, the revised manuscript submission should not go beyond eight weeks (only for the cases of major revision, which involves additional experiment, analysis, etc.). The Editor of the Journal will have exclusive power to take the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of a manuscript during peer review process.

The *Journal* is determined to promote integrity in research publication. In case of any suspected misconduct, journal management will reserve the right to re-review any manuscript at any stage before final publication.

### General guidelines for peer-review process

- This *Journal* strongly opposes the practice of duplicate publication or any type of plagiarism. If a reviewer suspects any unethical practice in a manuscript, they are encouraged to write it in the report with some proof/web links.
- Studies which are carried out to reconfirm/replicate the results of any previously published paper with new data may be considered for publication. But these types of studies should have a 'clear declaration' of this matter.
- This publisher believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound.
- Materials and methods – comments are invited on the suitability and technical standards of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods/process should be provided so that another researcher is able
- Results and discussion (comments are invited on: 1. are the data well controlled and robust?; 2. authors should provide relevant and current references during discussion; 3. discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures, and biased claims should be pointed out; and, 4. are statistical analyses a must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)
- Conclusion – is the conclusion supported by the data discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data presented inside the manuscript only. Authors should provide adequate proof for their claims without overselling them.
- Are all the references cited relevant and adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?

■ This publisher believes in constructive criticism. Reviewers are encouraged to be honest but not offensive in their language (unnecessarily harsh words may be modified or removed at the editor's discretion). It is expected that the reviewers should suggest to authors how they can strengthen their paper to make it acceptable. Comments of the reviewers should be sufficiently informative and helpful to reach an editorial decision. We strongly advise that a negative review should also explain the weaknesses of any manuscript, so that the concerned authors can understand the basis of rejection and he/she can improve the manuscript based on those comments. Authors also should not confuse straightforward and true comments with unfair criticism.

■ We are very much reluctant to go against suggestions (particularly on technical areas) of the reviewers. Therefore, authors are requested to treat the suggestions of reviewers with utmost importance.

■ Appeal – Appeal requests should be made in writing, not by telephone, and should be addressed to [journaleditor@irishdentallassoc.ie](mailto:journaleditor@irishdentallassoc.ie) with the word "appeal" in the subject line. In all these cases, it is likely that some time will elapse before the *Journal* can respond, and the paper must not be submitted for publication elsewhere during this time. Authors should provide detailed reasons for the appeal and point-by-point responses to the reviewers' and/or Editor's comments. Authors should also be aware that priority is given to new submissions to the journal and so the processing of the appeal may well take longer than the processing of the original submission. If an appeal is rejected, further appeals of the decision will not be considered and the paper may not be resubmitted.

### Plagiarism Policy

This *Journal* strongly opposes the practice of duplicate publication or any type of plagiarism.

This *Journal* aims to publish original high-quality research work. Submission of a manuscript to this *Journal* indicates that the study has not been published anywhere or not been submitted elsewhere for publication. If author(s) are using any part of a published paper (in English or any other language), they should give a proper reference or in any case, if required they should get permission from the previous publisher or copyright holder (whichever is suitable).

Plagiarised manuscripts will not be considered for publication. If plagiarism is found in any published paper after an internal investigation, a letter will be immediately sent to all the authors, their affiliated institutes and funding agencies, and subsequently the paper will be retracted.

The plagiarism policy of this *Journal* is mainly inspired by the plagiarism policy of Nature. The plagiarism policy of this *Journal* is described below:

1. Plagiarism is when an author attempts to pass off someone else's work as his or her own. This *Journal* also adopted IEEE definition of plagiarism to deal with such cases. It defines plagiarism as: "the reuse of someone else's prior ideas, processes, results, or words without explicitly acknowledging the original author and source".



2. Plagiarism can be said to have clearly occurred when large chunks of text have been cut-and-pasted. Such manuscripts would not be considered for publication in this *Journal*. Papers with confirmed plagiarism are rejected immediately.
3. Minor plagiarism without dishonest intent is relatively frequent, for example, when an author reuses parts of an introduction from an earlier paper.
4. Duplicate publication, sometimes called self-plagiarism, occurs when an author reuses substantial parts of his or her own published work without providing the appropriate references. This can range from getting an identical paper published in multiple journals, to 'salami-slicing', where authors add small amounts of new data to a previous paper. Self-plagiarism, also referred to as 'text recycling', is a topical issue and is currently generating much discussion among editors. Opinions are divided as to how much text overlap with an author's own previous publications is acceptable.
5. In case of 'suspected minor plagiarism', authors are contacted for clarification. Depending on all these reports, reviewers and editors decide final fate of the manuscript.
6. Editors have the final decision power for all such cases.

#### Correction and retraction policy

This *Journal* is determined to promote integrity in research publication. As soon as any error or omission is identified, the Journal corrects and/or retracts as appropriate at the earliest possible opportunity.

For any queries, authors are requested to contact by mail ([journaleditor@irishdentalassoc.ie](mailto:journaleditor@irishdentalassoc.ie)).

#### PROTOCOLS

The Editorial Board of the Journal has adopted two protocols which continue to be observed. They are:

##### 10th April 2006

The Irish Dental Association will hold onto all information relating to articles submitted for a period of two years after the article is first submitted regardless of whether it is published or not.

##### 5th February 2007

After articles have been published in the Journal, a pdf of the article may be put on the author's own website provided that the Journal is accredited for it.

# STATEMENT OF POLICIES ON CONFLICT OF INTEREST, HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS AND INFORMED CONSENT

## Conflicts of interest (sometimes referred to as 'competing interests')

These occur when outside issues affect, or are perceived to affect, the neutrality or objectivity of research. This can happen at any stage in the research cycle, including during the experimentation phase, while a manuscript is being written, or during the process of turning a manuscript into a published article.

Conflicts of interest do not always stop work from being published or prevent someone from being involved in the review process. However, they must be declared. A clear declaration of all possible conflicts – whether they actually had an influence or not – allows others to make informed decisions about the work and its review process.

If conflicts of interest are found after publication, this may be embarrassing for the authors, the Editor and the *Journal of the Irish Dental Association*. It may be necessary to publish a corrigendum or reassess the review process.

## Some common conflicts include:

- personal – a pre-existing relationship induces an individual to act inappropriately;
- financial – an individual receives payment relating to the subject of the research, or from connected organisations;
- intellectual property – an individual puts undue emphasis on patents or trademarks that they own, or are owned by their organisation;
- affiliations – an individual is employed by, or is a member of, an organisation with an interest in the outcome of the research; and,
- ideology – an individual is influenced by beliefs or associations relating to the subject of the research.

You should carefully consider how these and other similar topics may affect you, and how they could affect others involved in the handling of the manuscript.

## Authors

Conflicts for authors are most often associated with the risk of bias in a manuscript. As an author, if you have any interest or association that could be seen to have influenced your decision-making process, you should ensure that it is declared at the time of submission.

You may be asked to make certain changes to your manuscript as a result of your declaration. These requests are not an accusation of impropriety. The Editor or reviewer is helping you to protect your work against potential criticisms.

If you are in any doubt about declaring a potential conflict, remember that if it is revealed later – especially after publication – it could cause more problems than simply declaring it at the time of submission. Undeclared conflicts of interest could lead to a corrigendum or, in the most serious cases, a retraction. Whether or not you believe a conflict of interest exists, you will be asked to include a statement in your manuscript. If you believe no conflicts exist, you will be asked to confirm this in writing.

## Editors

As a member of a *Journal's* Editorial Board, you need to be very aware of the risk of conflicts when handling a manuscript.

Firstly, you should assess your own potential conflicts. If you have recently co-authored with the author of the manuscript, you could be perceived to be influenced by your relationship. Similarly, if you have recently shared an affiliation or employment history with the author, it could also be seen to be inappropriate for you to handle their work. The *Journal of the Irish Dental Association* aims to avoid assigning papers to editors who might have conflicts, but we also expect our editors to declare any conflicts. If you believe a conflict exists, you should refuse to handle the manuscript.

As a subject expert, the *Journal* relies on your knowledge of the discipline to assess any conflicts declared by a submitting author. You are also uniquely placed to be able to identify any undeclared conflicts that an author might have. You should think about these factors when making a recommendation on the manuscript.

You should also consider potential conflicts when assigning the manuscript to reviewers.

Discretion may be applied when publications are authored by a consortium. If you have concerns about a potential reviewer, consider appointing someone else. If you believe a reviewer's recommendation on a manuscript was made to further their own interests, you may tell the authors they do not need to address that point.

We are aware that certain specialist areas may involve a higher likelihood of association and overlap between researchers. In some instances, you may be the best-placed individual to act as Editor despite a connection with the author or authors. In this case, you should inform them you're the Editor of the *Journal of the Irish Dental Association*.

### Reviewers

By agreeing to peer review a manuscript you are providing essential neutral assessment. As such, you should ensure that you have no conflicts of interest that could be seen to prevent you from acting in an impartial manner.

The *Journal of the Irish Dental Association* operates a 'double blind' approach to peer review. Your name will not be made available to the authors and the author's name(s) will not be available to you. This allows you to provide honest, pertinent feedback.

### Human and animal rights


All research must have been carried out within an appropriate ethical framework. If there is the suspicion that work has not taken place within an appropriate ethical framework, editors may reject the manuscript, and/or contact the author(s)' ethics committee. On rare occasions, if the Editor has serious concerns about the ethics of a study, the manuscript may be rejected on ethical grounds, even if approval from an ethics committee has been obtained. Research involving human subjects, human material, or human data, must have been performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and must have been approved by an appropriate ethics committee.

Authors reporting the use of a new procedure or tool in a clinical setting, for example, as a technical advance or case report, must give a clear justification in the manuscript for why the new procedure or tool was deemed more appropriate than usual clinical practice to meet the patient's clinical need. Such a justification is not required if the new procedure is already approved for clinical use at the authors' institution. Authors will be expected to have obtained ethics committee approval and informed patient consent for any experimental use of a novel procedure or tool where a clear clinical advantage based on clinical need was not apparent before treatment.

### Informed consent

Inclusion of identifying details and images related to individual participants are not allowed.

Experimental research on vertebrates or any regulated invertebrates must comply with institutional, national, or international guidelines, and where available should have been approved by an appropriate ethics committee.



# GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

The purpose of the Journal of the Irish Dental Association is to provide good quality information to support continuing education, professional development and best practice.

We aim to benefit our members, our patients, and the wider dental profession by publishing original research studies, clinical case reports, position papers, literature reviews, brief communications, clinical features, and other material that is of interest to the Irish dental profession.

The following guidelines explain the format in which original material should be submitted to the Journal of the Irish Dental Association. This is recommended reading for anyone in the process of submitting material. Failure to follow these guidelines may result in rejection of manuscripts or delays in the review and publication process.

These guidelines address submission of:

- peer-reviewed articles;
- clinical features; and,
- letters to the Editor.

## PEER-REVIEWED ARTICLES

An electronic copy of the article must be submitted to:

The Honorary Editor

The Journal of the Irish Dental Association

Unit 2, Leopardstown Office Park,

Sandyford,

Dublin 18.

Email: [articles@irishdentalassoc.ie](mailto:articles@irishdentalassoc.ie)

All material will be evaluated for publication on the understanding that the work submitted has not been published elsewhere (except as an abstract), that it has not and will not be submitted to another journal until the editor has made a decision on its acceptability for this journal and that, if accepted, its contents will not be published elsewhere without the Editor's permission. Accepted papers become the copyright of the Journal of the Irish Dental Association and permission must be sought from the publishers before they can be reprinted elsewhere.

## Aims and scope

Articles must clearly state the problem and objective of the research, include a summary of relevant literature in the introduction, describe the research method, report the results briefly and accurately, discuss the results, and list the conclusions that can be drawn from the research.

Clinical case reports should briefly describe the particular clinical problem, the author's method of managing the problem, and a rationale for such treatment

with appropriate references to the literature. If appropriate, diagrams or descriptions of the differential diagnosis and any specialised tests should be included, to improve understanding for the reader.

Literature reviews should thoroughly analyse the literature with respect to the chosen topic and reference all material used. They should emphasise what the reader can learn and report new, helpful or timesaving techniques or procedures. All accepted manuscripts are subject to editorial revision. The lead/ corresponding author will receive edited proofs for approval. The authors will be responsible for all statements in the article, including changes made by the editor.

## Construction

- From January 1st 2014, papers submitted to the Journal should not exceed 3,000 words.
- The text must be a minimum of 10pt size and double spaced.
- Each page must be numbered in consecutive order from the title page, through the abstract, text, references, tables and photographs in that order.
- The first page, or title page, must contain the title and all the authors' names and affiliations. Dentists registered in the Republic of Ireland must not use any qualifications not registered by them with the Dental Council.
- This page must also give the name, address, phone number and email of the corresponding author, who will undertake correspondence, proofreading, etc.
- The second page will contain a concise précis (not more than 25 words) for use in the table of contents. This should state the conclusions of the report.
- Each manuscript must contain a structured abstract of a maximum of 250 words, with the headings: statement of the problem; purpose of the study; materials and methods; results; and, conclusions.
- For the body of the text, the standard headings – introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion, conclusions, etc. – should be used.
- References should be from journals that are peer reviewed and indexed in either PubMed or Scopus.
- References must be identified in the text by superscript and numbered consecutively in order of appearance in the text. References appearing in tables only shall be numbered in relation to the first mention of the table in the text. The list of references should be placed at the end of the article and must be numbered as in the text and placed in numerical order. References shall be given according to the Vancouver style. For example:  
Peres MA, Macpherson LM, Weyant RJ, Daly B, Venturelli R, Mathur MR, Listl S, Celeste RK, Guarnizo-Herreño CC, Kearns C, Benzian H. Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. *The Lancet*. 2019 Jul 20;394(10194):249-60.
- All tables must be on separate sheets and must have a consecutive number and a clear concise title. Do not duplicate data in tables and text. Include adequate information (using footnotes if necessary) to make the table self-explanatory.



- Pictures should be jpg, pdf or eps files. These electronic images must be at least 300dpi/500kbs and of publishable size. Pictures must not be embedded in the word processing file.
- Radiographs must be of a high quality.
- Figures must be identified with a number in order of their mention in the text
- All acknowledgments should be concise and include only identification of grant sources and permission to reproduce from previous publications.

### General instructions

- Spelling should be that of the Oxford Dictionary.
- Avoid excessive use of abbreviations. Authors may use abbreviations that are in common use in scientific literature. They may introduce less familiar acronyms whenever unwieldy terms are repeated in the text. The abbreviation should be introduced (in parentheses) where the term is mentioned first and the abbreviation should be used thereafter. On occasion it may be desirable to list abbreviations as a footnote.
- Authors must disclose any financial interest that they have in products mentioned in their articles or that they will receive compensation from a commercial company upon publication of the article.

### Multiple-choice questions for CPD

Continuous professional development (CPD) is a significant element of the Journal's remit and dental professionals receive CPD points for reading a peer-reviewed article. We have a duty to the Dental Council to ensure that the article is read and understood. The Editorial Board therefore now requires each lead author to submit three multiple-choice questions on their article, along with the answers. The questions will appear at the end of the article, and readers will need to submit their answers through the IDA website, which will then automatically give them the relevant points, if the questions are answered correctly. Questions should be factual, ensure synthesis of the article and be fair to any non-expert reading the publication. The questions and answers should be sent to the Journal Co-ordinator at [articles@irishdentalassoc.ie](mailto:articles@irishdentalassoc.ie).

### Consent

- The corresponding author shall confirm, on behalf of all of the authors, that the content of the manuscript and the authors' names and affiliations are correct.
- A signed consent form shall be submitted by the authors confirming that they
  - a. have contributed to the authorship of the submitted manuscript;
  - b. have seen and approved the submitted version of the manuscript; and,
  - c. consent to the corresponding author co-ordinating future correspondence between the Journal office and the authors.

### Peer review process

All manuscripts are reviewed by the Editor, editorial staff and a number of reviewers using a standardised peer review process. The authors should be sent the reviewers' comments, a decision and any recommended changes by the Honorary Editor within six weeks of submission. The Honorary Editor and publishers reserve the right to make minor editorial changes to accepted manuscripts.

### CLINICAL FEATURES

The Journal also commissions some clinical features to illustrate techniques, new evidence and key learning. These should be two pages and highly visual, and should not be more than 1,000 words. The Honorary Editor welcomes ideas for future features from our readers. Please see separate guidance document for further information.

### LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

The Honorary Editor welcomes letters from the profession. Letters should not exceed 350 words and should be submitted to [journaleditor@irishdentalassoc.ie](mailto:journaleditor@irishdentalassoc.ie). Letters will be published subject to space availability on an issue-by-issue basis. The Honorary Editor reserves the right to publication.

Updated December 2019.

# GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS – CLINICAL FEATURES

The Journal commissions clinical features from experts to illustrate techniques or new evidence for the Irish dental profession. These should be highly visual and can include summary tables, photographs, diagrams and/or key learning points. These should not exceed 1,000 words (very visual features may be shorter).

Clinical features should be an aid to improving clinical practice. As opinion pieces they should not be heavily referenced, but may include up to 10 references or further recommended reading, such as a position paper, clinical guideline, advice sheet or systematic review.

Submission format should be aligned with Journal style (see requirements for submissions).

The text must be a minimum of 10pt size and double spaced.

Each page must be numbered in consecutive order from the title page, through the text, references, tables and photographs in that order. The JIDA cover page must contain the title and all the authors' names and affiliations. Dentists registered in the Republic of Ireland must not use any qualifications not registered by them with the Dental Council. This page must also give the name, address, phone number and email of the corresponding author, who will undertake correspondence, proofreading, etc.

Include a high-quality jpeg of the lead author.

References must be identified in the text by superscript and numbered consecutively in order of appearance in the text.

References shall be given according to the Vancouver style.

For example:

Peres MA, Macpherson LM, Weyant RJ, Daly B, Venturelli R, Mathur MR, Listl S, Celeste RK, Guarnizo-Herreño CC, Kearns C, Benizian H.

Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. *The Lancet*. 2019 Jul 20;394(10194):249-60.

Recommended reading or additional resources can be included at the end.

Tables, figures and images

■ All tables must be on separate sheets and must have a consecutive number and a clear, concise title. Do not duplicate data in tables and text. Include adequate information (using footnotes if necessary) to make the table self-explanatory.



■ Pictures and radiographs should be high quality jpg or pdf files, approximately 300dpi and minimum 500kbs for publication purposes. They should not be embedded in the text.

■ Figures must be identified with a number in order of their mention in the text.

All acknowledgements should be concise and include only identification of grant sources and permission to reproduce from previous publications.

These features are not externally peer reviewed, but the Honorary Editor and relevant Editorial Board members can support the authors in finalising and finishing the content in Journal style. They also ensure that the content is of the standard required for publication. Our publishers can provide support in subediting and with the design elements of the feature, such as layout, tables, diagrams, production and any photography.

To facilitate this, authors should submit their feature at least three weeks before the editorial deadline for that issue.

Updated December 2019.



